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# REVISION OF SECTION 102

EXAMINATION OF PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, SPECIAL PROVISIONS, AND SITE OF WORK

**NOTICE**

This is a standard special provision that revises or modifies CDOT’s *Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.* It has gone through a formal review and approval process and has been issued by CDOT’s Project Development Branch with formal instructions for its use on CDOT construction projects. It is to be used as written without change. Do not use modified versions of this special provision on CDOT construction projects, and do not use this special provision on CDOT projects in a manner other than that specified in the instructions unless such use is first approved by CDOT’s Standards and Specifications Unit. The instructions for use on CDOT construction projects appear below.

Other agencies which use the *Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction* to administer construction projects may use this special provision as appropriate and at their own risk.

**Instructions for use on CDOT construction projects:**

Use on all projects.

Section 102 of the Standard Specifications is hereby revised for this project as follows:

In subsection 102.05 delete the second and third paragraphs and replace with the following:

Boring logs, utility mapping, and other records of subsurface investigations, if they exist, are available for inspection by bidders. These logs and records are made available so that all bidders have access to identical subsurface information that is available to the Department. These items are not intended as a substitute for personal investigation, interpretation, and judgment of the bidders.

The Department does not warrant the adequacy of boring logs, utility mapping, and other records of subsurface investigations, and such information is not considered to be a part of the Contract. When a log of test borings is included in the subsurface investigation record, the data shown in the individual log of each test boring applies only to that particular boring and is not intended to be conclusive as to the character of any material between or around test borings. When utility mapping is included, the information shown will be identified as Quality Level

A/B/C/D in accordance with the most recent version of the ASCE Standard Guideline for the Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data (CI/ASCE 38-02). Utility location depictions are only valid at the time of collection and it is the Contractor’s sole responsibility to verify all utility locations prior to beginning the work. If bidders use this information in preparing a proposal, it is used at their own risk, and bidders are responsible for all conclusions, deductions, and inferences drawn from such information.